

ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>Pam Kline  
<ADDRESS1>RR#1 Box 248  
<ADDRESS2>  
<CITY>winfield  
<STATE>PA  
<ZIP>17889  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>snipit@evenlink.com  
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>Pam Kline  
<ADDRESS1>RR#1 Box 248  
<ADDRESS2>  
<CITY>winfield  
<STATE>PA  
<ZIP>17889  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>snipit@evenlink.com  
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>Pame Kline  
<ADDRESS1>RR#1 Box 248  
<ADDRESS2>  
<CITY>winfield  
<STATE>PA  
<ZIP>17889  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>snipit@evenlink.com  
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

One thing I always remember my mother telling me and that is that someday only the rich will be able to afford telephones and you know it's getting to that point.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely, Pam Kline RR#1 Box 248 Winfield, Pa 17889

Sincerely,

Pam Kline  
RR#1 Box 248  
Winfield, Pennsylvania 17889